UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/530,384	04/06/2005	Frank Neumann	HH 304-KFM	4881
10037 7590 05/14/2009 MILDE & HOFFBERG, LLP 10 BANK STREET			EXAMINER	
			NDUBIZU, CHUKA CLEMENT	
SUITE 460 WHITE PLAIN	IS, NY 10606		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3743	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/14/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Response to Arguments

Amendments after final filed on May 4 2009 is hereby acknowledged. Examination on the merits has ended. It should be kept in mind that applicant cannot, as a matter of right, amend any finally rejected claims or add new claims after a final rejection (37 CFR 1.116). In the response, the applicant has amended claims 1 (including "and adhered to" and "is pre-weathered on the heat-absorbing side") and claim 14 (including "at opposite edges") with no justification. The addition of these limitations changed the claims and would require further consideration or search. Additionally, the applicant has failed to provide good and sufficient reasons why the amendment s are necessary and were not earlier introduced (37 CFR 1.116(b). Therefore, the amendments will not be entered.

Applicant's argument that his invention would reflect less heat than prior art has been considered but it is not persuasive since Applicant failed op provide any evidence for the claim.

Applicant's argument that his invention would be more efficient than Deakin's has been considered but it is not persuasive since Applicant failed to provide any data to substantiate the assertion.

Applicant's argument about the references seem to suggest that each reference is expected to teach all the limitations of the various claims. However since only 103 rejections were made it is the various combinations of the references that disclose all the limitations of the claims as discussed in the rejections.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CHUKA C. NDUBIZU whose telephone number is (571)272-6531. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8.30 - 4.30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kenneth Rinehart can be reached on 571-272-4881. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Chuka C Ndubizu/ Examiner, Art Unit 3743

/Kenneth B Rinehart/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3743

20090512